

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/771,464	01/26/2001	Veijo Vanttinen	324-010114-US(PAR)	6218
7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Clarence A. Green PERMAN & GREEN, LLP			NGUYEN, HANH N	
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2668	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/771,464	VANTTINEN ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Hanh Nguyen	2668				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the formula of the drawing of the drawi	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	Ilalae					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the subscriber terminal" in 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 1, it is not clearly stated whether "the subscriber terminal" on line 6 is referred to "a subscriber terminal" on line 5 or "a subscriber terminal" on line 1 respectively.

Claims 2-13 are rejected because they depend on claim 1 respectively.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 01/26/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The IDS filed on 01/26/2001 fails to have an attached 1449 form which includes a list of references,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Verdonk (Pat. No. 6,330,454 B1).

In claims 1, 5, 14-16 and 18, based on the claimed language in claims 1, 14, Examiner considers "the other information useful information location determination" as "longitude and latitude information" where the subscriber termination is located.

Verdonk discloses, in Fig.1, a combination of serving node 141, customer server 140 and server control point SCP 142 (a core network) determines a location of mobile unit 128 (subscriber) by transmitting a location request to MSC 102 via a packet data network 112 (packet switch radio network). See col.5, lines 1-7 & lines 20-25 & 32-40. The MSC 102 sends a page request to the mobile unit 128 (the packet switch radio network transmitting a paging message to

Art Unit: 2668

the subscriber terminal). See col.5, lines 50-52. The mobile unit 128 responses its location to MSC 102 (subscriber unit transmits a page response to the radio network) comprising the required mobile unit 128 's location (the radio network transmits the page response message to the core network). See col.5, lines 50-60. The response sent from the mobile unit 128 comprises identity of cell 144 serving the mobile unit (identity of serving cell), and longitude and latitude information (other information useful in location determination) See col.5, lines 37-42 & lines 55-60 & col.6, lines 10-20).

In claim 2, Verdonk discloses at least timing information of the radio connection (a time stamp indicating what time the subscriber location was last determined, col.5, lines 45-50)

In claim 3, Verdonk discloses the location information may includes at least information on a previous location of the subscriber terminal (step 302, fig.3, col.7, lines 20-25).

In claims 4 and 17, Verdonk discloses that the mobile unit, when responses its location to the MSC, attaches it cell identity which is stored by the MSC (subscriber unit inserts at least part of information into the paging response message). See col.7, lines 30-47.

In claims 10, 11, 23 and 24, Verdonk discloses that in determining the mobile location, the serving MSC determines the most likely location of the mobile unit within the cell/sector wherein the mobile unit could reside anywhere within its current cell/sector (target set corresponds to quality of service when determining location of subscriber terminal). See col.2, lines 60-67. If the cell/sector services a heavily traveled road, the serving MSC determines a mean location on the road and assumes that the mean location is where the mobile unt resides (performing better quality of service if the the target set is not achieved). See col.3, lines 5-10.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,464

Art Unit: 2668

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 8, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable overVerdonk (Pat. No. 6,330,454 B1) in view of Willars et al. (US pat. No. 6,285,667 B1).

In claims 6, 7, 9, 19, 20 and 22, Verdonk does not disclose the subscriber terminal initiates the functions including measuring the signal and continues the performance of the functions. Willars et al. discloses, in Fig.1, a mobile station 1, after responding to the page request from a core network, continues to monitor the page channel from the the core network via the radio network (see col.4, lines 1-10 & line 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the Verdonk to continue to receive page requests from core network as suggested by Willars. The motivation is to help the customer server of Verdonk determine its employee location and enhance the communication more effectively.

In claims 8 and 21, Verdonk discloses the paging signal received by the mobile unit 128 is transmitted from MSC 116 (signal transmitted by other base stations) via the serving MSC 102 (signal of serving cell). See col.5, lines 20-40.

Claims 12, 13, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable overVerdonk (Pat. No. 6,330,454 B1).

In claims 12, 13, 25 and 26, Verdonk does not disclose the paging message is transmitted even though the subscriber terminal would already on standby due to the paging message

received ealier; and the paging message and the response message use protocol correspond to third layer of the OSI model. The mobile unit of Verdonk is designed to continue to receive page message after receiving an ealier message. Therefore, the mobile unit, if would already be in the sleep mode, should be able to receive paging message due to a well-known skill in the art. The OSI model has been a well-known skill used to transmit paging messages.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kotola et al. (Pat. 6,321,257 B1) discloses method and Apparatus for Accessing Internet Service in a Mobile Communication Network.

Lupien et al. (Pat. 6,389,008 B1) discloses Integrated radio telecommunication networks and method of Interworking an ANSI-41 Network and GPRS.

Stephens et al.(Pat. 6,600,920 B1) discloses Method and System for Delivering Wireless Calls.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 4:30PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan, can be reached on 571 272 3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Art Unit: 2668

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

December 20, 2005

HANH NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER